

Urban-Rural Disparities in Smoking Prevalence, Consumption, and Indoor Smoking Habits Among Older Adults in Indonesia

Elvianto Wihatno¹, Yuni Asri², Nuris Kushayati³, Doxana Putri Parahita⁴

¹ Department of Pharmacy, Faculty, Faculty of Technology Science and Health, Institute of Technology Science and Health RS, Soepraoen Kesdam V/Brawijaya

² Department of Nursing, Faculty, Faculty of Health Science, Institute of Technology Science and Health RS, Soepraoen Kesdam V/Brawijaya

³ Nursing Department, Dian Husada Nursing Academy, Mojokerto

⁴ Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Duta Wacana Jogjakarta

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received : 25 Januari 2024

Revised : 19 Februari 2025

Accepted : 21 Februari 2025

Available Online : 10 Maret 2025

Published Regularly : Maret 2025

DOI:

<https://dx.doi.org/10.33366/jc.v12i1.6704>

Keywords:

Older Adults, Rural-Urban Disparities,
Public Health, Smoking Cessation

Corresponding author e-mail

yuniasri@itsk-soepraoen.ac.id

PUBLISHER:

UNITRI PRESS

Jl. Telagawarna, Tlogomas-Malang,
65144, Telp/Fax: 0341-565500



This is an open access article under the **Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License**. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. **CC-BY-SA**

ABSTRACT

Smoking is a major public health concern worldwide and is a leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality. In Indonesia, smoking rates are among the highest globally; however, little is known about smoking behavior among older adults, particularly across rural and urban settings. This study aimed to examine the prevalence of smoking and its associated factors among older adults in Indonesia, focusing on demographic, socioeconomic, and geographic disparities. A cross-sectional analysis was conducted using data from the Indonesian Health Survey (SKI) 2023, which included 97,339 participants aged 60 years and older. A multi-stage stratified cluster sampling method was employed to ensure representativeness. Smoking behavior, cigarette consumption, and indoor smoking practices were analyzed alongside demographic variables, such as age, sex, education level, marital status, work status, and region. Descriptive statistics and chi-square tests were used, with adjustments for the complex survey design using STATA 15.1. The overall smoking prevalence was 30.98%, with a higher rate in urban areas (17.77%) than in rural areas (13.21%). Daily cigarette consumption was more common than weekly smoking, and 76.23% of smokers reported smoking indoors. Smoking was significantly associated with a lower educational level, employment status, and marital status. Urban residents showed a higher smoking prevalence and consumption pattern than rural residents. This study highlights disparities in smoking behavior among older adults in Indonesia, emphasizing the need for targeted public health interventions. Efforts should focus on reducing indoor smoking, improving access to smoking cessation programs, and addressing socioeconomic factors contributing to smoking behavior, particularly in urban areas

How to cite: Cara mengutip: Wihatno, E., Asri, Y., Kushayati, N., Parahita, DP (2025). Urban-Rural Disparities in Smoking Prevalence, Consumption, and Indoor Smoking Practices Among Older Adults in Indonesia. Care: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Kesehatan Vol 13, No 1, 2025, hal 37-51. Retrieved from <https://jurnal.unitri.ac.id/index.php/care/article/view/6704>.

1. INTRODUCTION

Smoking remains a leading preventable cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, contributing significantly to the global burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs)(Budreviciute et al., 2020; Ismail et al., 2024), including cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases, and cancers(Gan et al., 2022). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), tobacco use is responsible for over 8 million deaths annually. Approximately 80% of the 1.3 billion tobacco users worldwide reside in low- and middle-income countries, where the burden of tobacco-related illness and death is disproportionately high(WHO, 2023). Among older adults, smoking poses a heightened risk due to age-related vulnerabilities such as declining lung function and the cumulative effects of prolonged tobacco exposure(Flexeder et al., 2019).

Indonesia has one of the highest smoking prevalence rates globally, particularly among men (Holipah et al., 2020; WHO, 2020). Tobacco use is deeply ingrained in Indonesian culture and shaped by social, economic, and regional factors (Astuti et al., 2020). Although public health campaigns have raised awareness of the dangers of smoking, significant gaps remain in addressing the unique challenges faced by older adults. Additionally, disparities in smoking behaviors between rural and urban areas highlight the need for targeted interventions tailored to specific demographic and geographic subpopulations (Doogan et al., 2017; Lum et al., 2020).

Older adults represent a critical demographic for understanding smoking behaviors and their health consequences(Hunt et al., 2023; Jeong et al., 2022). This age group often exhibits entrenched smoking habits, which make cessation efforts particularly challenging. Furthermore, smoking among older adults not only affects their health, but also has implications for family members through secondhand smoke exposure, particularly in households where smoking indoors is common(Yamada & Nakazawa, 2024). Although awareness of these issues is increasing, there is still limited research on smoking patterns among older adults in Indonesia, particularly when considering rural and urban differences. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of smoking and the factors associated with it among older adults in Indonesia, with a particular emphasis on demographic, socioeconomic, and geographic factors. By analyzing smoking trends, including cigarette consumption and indoor smoking behaviors, this research seeks to provide data-driven insights to guide public health initiatives. The results are intended to support the creation of targeted interventions to reduce smoking rates and minimize health risks in this population.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study Design and Sample

This study employed a cross-sectional design, using data from the Indonesian Health Survey (SKI) 2023, a nationally representative survey aimed at assessing health conditions in the Indonesian population. The survey utilized a multi-stage stratified cluster sampling method to ensure representativeness across diverse geographic and demographic groups in Indonesia. The study population included individuals aged ≥ 60 years, resulting in a final sample size of 97,339 participants.

2.2 Data Collection

Data for the SKI 2023 survey were collected through structured face-to-face interviews administered by trained surveyors (Kemenkes, 2023). Standardized questionnaires were used to gather information on sociodemographic characteristics, smoking behavior, health-related factors, and other relevant variables. Smoking behavior was self-reported by the participants, including current smoking status, the number of cigarettes consumed daily or weekly, and indoor smoking habits. Data collection for the survey took place from August to the first week of October 2023.

2.3 Variables and Measurements

The dependent variable was smoking behavior, categorized as "smoker" (current smoker) or "non-smoker" (not currently smoking). The independent variables were demographic characteristics: age was categorized into three groups: 60-65 years, 66-70 years, and ≥ 71 years; and sex: male or female. Marital status was classified as unmarried, married, divorced, or other. Education level: grouped as no education, elementary school, junior high school, senior high school, or university. Work status: categorized as working or not working. Region: classified as Sumatra, Java, Bali, and other islands. Smoking indoors: dichotomized as "yes" or "no." Cigarette consumption was measured as the number of cigarettes consumed daily or weekly.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA version 15.1. The dataset's survey weights (indicated by the variable "w_final") were applied to account for the complex survey design, including stratification, clustering, and unequal probabilities of selection. The Svy set

command in STATA was used throughout the analysis to adjust for the survey design. The statistical approach included descriptive statistics, frequency distributions and percentages were calculated for key variables to summarize the demographic characteristics and smoking behavior of the study population. Inferential Analysis: Differences in smoking prevalence and other variables across demographic and residential subgroups were assessed using the chi-squared test. A significance level of $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant for all tests.

2.5 Ethical Considerations

The 2023 Indonesia Health Survey (SKI) adhered to strict ethical standards to ensure participants' safety, privacy, and well-being. The Indonesian Ministry of Health submitted a formal data request under ticket number 240675B7CC9C4327 along with a confidentiality agreement letter (reference no. FRM/SMKI-PUSDATIN/70/0108/2024). Data requests can be made using the following link: <https://www.badankebijakan.kemkes.go.id/data-mikro-ski/>

3. RESULTS

Table 1 provides the frequency distribution of various characteristics and the percentage of smoking among older adults in Indonesia stratified by rural and urban residence, with a total sample size of 97,339 individuals. The age groups were divided as follows 60-65, 66-70, and 71 years or older. The majority (43.99%) of participants were aged 60-65 years. Within this age group, urban areas had a slightly higher percentage of older adults (25.73%) than rural areas (18.26%). Similarly, urban areas had higher proportions in the 66-70 (14.59%) and ≥ 71 years or older (17.49%) age groups compared to rural areas, with proportions of 10.58% and 13.36%, respectively ($p = 0.0145$). The sample was nearly evenly distributed between males (48.04%) and females (51.96%). Among males, the urban population (27.67%) had a higher percentage than rural areas (20.37%). For females, the urban group again reported higher percentages (30.13%) than rural areas (21.83%). The difference by sex was not statistically significant ($p = 0.3362$). The education level showed significant differences between rural and urban areas ($p = 0.0001$). In rural areas, 14.9% of the individuals were not educated, compared to 12.32% in urban areas. Those with elementary education comprised the largest group overall, with 21.87% in rural areas and 22.77% in urban areas. Higher educational levels (senior high school and university) were more common in urban areas

(10.46% and 5.46%, respectively) than in rural areas (2.0% and 0.87%, respectively). Most participants were married (66.8%), with a slightly higher percentage living in urban areas (38.15%) than in rural areas (28.65%). Divorced or other marital statuses were more common in urban areas (19.04%) than in rural areas (13.24%). The differences in marital status were statistically significant ($p = 0.0001$). The majority of participants were from Java and Bali (63.89%), with urban residents accounting for 41.4% and rural residents for 22.49%. Participants from Sumatra made up 18.76%, with 10.01% in rural areas and 8.75% in urban areas. Other islands had a smaller representation, with 17.35% overall and slightly more in rural areas (9.7%) than in urban areas (7.65%) ($p = 0.0001$). Most participants were working (64.66%), with a higher proportion working in urban areas (34.48%) than in rural areas (30.18%). The number of not working participants was higher in urban areas (23.32%) than in rural areas (12.02%) ($p = 0.0001$).

Overall, 30.98% of participants were smokers, with rural areas having 13.21% and urban areas 17.77%. Non-smokers were more common, at 69.02% in rural areas and 40.03% in urban areas. However, this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.2229$). Participants in urban areas reported higher cigarette consumption per day (43.58%) than those in rural areas (34.12%). Weekly cigarette consumption was higher in urban areas (13.73%) than in rural areas (8.57%). The differences were statistically significant ($P = 0.0001$). A significant proportion of the participants smoked indoors (76.23% overall). Among them, 37.37% were from rural areas, and 38.87% were from urban areas. Outdoor smoking was much less common, with rural areas accounting for 8.33% and urban areas accounting for 15.43% ($p = 0.0001$). The sample was evenly split, with 45.89% of the participants residing in rural areas and 54.11% in urban areas.

Table 1. Frequency distribution of characteristics and the percentage of smoking among older adults in Indonesia stratified by residence (N=97.339)

Variable	Total		Rural		Urban		P value
	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	
Age (Years)							0.0145
60~65	46.146	43.99	20.770	(18.26)	25.376	(25.73)	
66~70	24.330	25.16	10.988	(10.58)	13.348	(14.59)	
≥ 71	26.863	30.85	12.916	(13.36)	13.947	(17.49)	
Sex							0.3362
Male	47.662	48.04	22.112	(20.37)	25.550	(27.67)	

Female	49.677	51.96	22.556	(21.83)	27.121	(30.13)	
Education Level							0.0001
No Education	25.982	27.22	15.135	(14.9)	10.847	(12.32)	
Elementary School	40.880	44.64	21.622	(21.87)	19.258	(22.77)	
Junior High School	10.431	9.35	3.700	(2.56)	6.731	(6.8)	
Senior High School	13.188	12.46	2.948	(2.0)	10.240	(10.46)	
University	6.858	6.33	1.263	(0.87)	5.595	(5.46)	
Marital Status							0.0001
Unmarried	1.222	0.92	500	(0.31)	722	(0.61)	
Married	66.554	66.8	31.006	(28.65)	35.548	(38.15)	
Divorce and other	29.563	32.28	13.162	(13.24)	16.401	(19.04)	
Region							0.0001
Sumatra	27.292	18.76	14.018	(10.01)	13.274	(8.75)	
Java and Bali	36.140	63.89	9.440	(22.49)	26.700	(41.4)	
Other islands	33.907	17.35	21.210	(9.7)	12.697	(7.65)	
Work Status							0.0001
Not working	31.329	35.34	12.078	(12.02)	19.251	(23.32)	
Working	66.010	64.66	32.590	(30.18)	33.420	(34.48)	
Smoking behaviors							0.2229
No	69.589	69.02	31.789	(28.99)	37.800	(40.03)	
Yes	27.750	30.98	12.879	(13.21)	14.871	(17.77)	
Average cigarettes consumption (unit)							0.0001
Stick/day	21.489	77.7	10.145	(34.12)	11.344	(43.58)	
Sticks/week	6.138	22.3	2.680	(8.57)	3.458	(13.73)	
Smokes indoors							0.0001
Yes	17.189	76.23	8.846	(37.37)	8.343	(38.87)	
No	4.583	23.77	1.771	(8.33)	2.812	(15.43)	
Residence							-
Rural	44.668	45.89	-	-	-	-	
Urban	52.671	54.11	-	-	-	-	

Figure 1 illustrates smoking behaviors, prevalence of smoking, average cigarette consumption, and indoor smoking habits among older adults in Indonesia. Panel (a) shows the distribution of smoking behavior in the population. The majority of individuals (69.02%) were non-smokers, whereas 30.98% were smokers. Panel (b) presents the prevalence of smoking, stratified by residence. Smoking was more prevalent in urban areas (17.77%) than in rural areas (13.21%). Panel (c) shows average cigarette consumption per unit. Daily

cigarette consumption accounted for a significant majority (77.7%), whereas weekly cigarette consumption accounted for 22.3%, reflecting a higher preference for daily smoking among older adults. Panel (d) depicts indoor smoking behavior. A significant proportion (76.23%) of smokers reported smoking indoors, whereas a smaller percentage (23.77%) reported not smoking indoors.




Figure 1. Smoking behavior (a), Prevalence of smoking behavior (b), Average cigarettes consumptions in unit (c), Smokes indoors

4. DISCUSSION

This study provides valuable insights into smoking behavior and related characteristics of older adults in Indonesia, stratified by urban and rural residence. The findings highlight several

significant patterns in smoking prevalence, cigarette consumption, and environmental impacts of the smoking practices, such as smoking indoors.

The results showed that 30.98% of older adults in Indonesia were smokers and smoking was more prevalent in urban areas (17.77%) than in rural areas (13.21%). This urban-rural disparity in smoking prevalence aligns with prior studies suggesting that urban residents may have greater access to cigarettes and a potentially higher influence from social and cultural norms promoting smoking (Mumford et al., 2019; VanFrank B, 2024). Urban environments often provide easier access to tobacco products because of their higher commercial availability and advertising, contributing to increased smoking behavior (Vallarta-Robledo et al., 2022). Conversely, rural populations while also affected by smoking, may face different socioeconomic constraints that reduce tobacco use (Bafunno et al., 2020). The study highlights that smoking is prevalent among both men and women, with urban males being the most frequent smokers. This is consistent with global evidence that smoking remains a male-dominated behavior in many developing countries, although female smoking rates in urban areas are increasing (Agaku et al., 2024; Martini et al., 2022). The higher smoking prevalence in older urban adults may reflect generational differences in exposure to tobacco marketing or shifts in urban lifestyles over time (Cao et al., 2023). Interestingly, the findings suggest that smoking prevalence declines with age, with a higher percentage of smokers in the 60-65 age group than in those aged ≥ 71 years. This decrease might be due to health complications in older adults leading to smoking cessation or survivorship bias, as long-term smokers may be more susceptible to smoking-related illnesses (Chen et al., 2024; Ding et al., 2019).

Daily cigarette consumption (77.7%) was far more common than weekly consumption (22.3%), indicating that smoking is a regular and ingrained habit. Urban smokers reported higher daily cigarette consumption than their rural counterparts did. This pattern may reflect the economic capacity of urban residents to purchase cigarettes more frequently or the higher stress levels associated with urban living (Theilmann et al., 2022). Furthermore, rural areas, despite having a lower prevalence of smoking, may face unique challenges with cultural acceptance of smoking, which could influence smoking behavior differently (Buettner-Schmidt et al., 2019; Hirko et al., 2023). The findings revealed a concerning trend: a significant majority of smokers (76.23%) reported smoking indoors, with minimal difference between rural and urban settings. This behavior poses significant health risks to household

members, particularly non-smokers and vulnerable populations, such as children and older adults. Indoor smoking leads to secondhand smoke exposure, which increases the risk of respiratory diseases, cardiovascular conditions, and cancer in nonsmokers (Flor et al., 2024). The data underscore the urgent need for targeted public health interventions to reduce indoor smoking, particularly in households where older adults are primary smokers.

Education appears to play a critical role in smoking behavior. Individuals with higher education levels in senior high school or university (18.79) were more likely to reside in urban areas and had a lower smoking prevalence than those with less education. This finding is consistent with the existing literature, which demonstrates a negative association between educational level and smoking prevalence. Education not only provides individuals with greater awareness of the health risks associated with smoking, but also fosters access to information and resources to quit smoking (Angeli et al., 2024; Sun et al., 2023). The data revealed that individuals who work are more likely to smoke than those who do not work. This relationship may stem from the stress associated with employment or workplace environments in which smoking is normalized. Urban workers, in particular, had higher smoking rates, suggesting that workplace smoking cessation programs and stricter enforcement of workplace smoking bans may be effective strategies for reducing smoking prevalence in this demographic (Syamlal et al., 2019).

The findings of this study underscore the urgent need for targeted public health policies to address smoking behavior among older adults in Indonesia. Given the high prevalence of indoor smoking, educational campaigns emphasizing the dangers of secondhand smoke exposure are essential. Policymakers should consider implementing stricter regulations on indoor smoking along with community-based interventions to encourage smoke-free households. Furthermore, efforts should be made to address disparities in smoking behavior between urban and rural populations. While urban residents have a higher smoking prevalence, rural areas may benefit from targeted interventions that address the cultural and economic barriers to smoking cessation. Expanding access to smoking cessation programs, particularly in rural areas, could have a significant impact on reducing smoking prevalence and its associated health risks.

Although this study provides a comprehensive analysis of smoking behavior among older adults in Indonesia, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional

design limits the ability to establish causality between demographic factors and smoking behavior. Second, self-reported data may be subject to recall bias or underreporting of smoking behavior, particularly among females in rural areas, where social norms may stigmatize smoking. Future research should consider longitudinal studies to better understand the causal relationships between demographic factors and smoking behavior. Additionally, qualitative research exploring cultural norms and individual attitudes toward smoking could complement the quantitative analysis findings of this study.

5. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant prevalence of smoking and its associated behaviors among older adults in Indonesia, revealing important disparities between rural and urban populations. Smoking was more prevalent among urban residents with higher daily cigarette consumption than in rural areas. Furthermore, a proportion of smokers reported smoking indoors, posing serious health risks to household members through exposure to secondhand smoke. Sociodemographic factors, including age ($p=0.0145$), educational level ($p=0.0001$), and marital status ($p=0.0001$), were found to influence smoking behavior. Individuals with lower educational attainment and those who were still working were more likely to smoke, particularly in urban settings, where smoking behavior is more prevalent. These findings underscore the importance of addressing social and economic factors when designing effective smoking cessation programs.

The study also highlights the need for targeted public health interventions, such as stricter enforcement of smoke-free indoor regulations, the expansion of smoking cessation programs, and educational campaigns to raise awareness of the health risks associated with smoking and secondhand smoke. Particular attention should be paid to vulnerable groups, including older adults and rural populations, where cultural and socioeconomic barriers may hinder access to resources for smoking cessation. By providing evidence on the patterns and disparities in smoking behavior, this study supports the development of tailored strategies to reduce smoking prevalence among older adults in Indonesia. Efforts to address smoking behavior in this population could lead to significant health improvements and reduce the burden of tobacco-related diseases nationwide.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We express our gratitude to the Ministry of Health of Indonesia for granting access to the 2023 Indonesian Health Survey (SKI) data, which served as the foundation of this study. We also extend our appreciation to the survey team and participants for their essential contributions.

7. REFERENCES

- Agaku, I. T., Sulentic, R., Dragicevic, A., Njie, G., Jones, C. K., Odani, S., Tsafa, T., Gwar, J., Vardavas, C. I., & Ayo-Yusuf, O. (2024). Gender differences in use of cigarette and non-cigarette tobacco products among adolescents aged 13–15 years in 20 African countries [journal article]. *Tobacco Induced Diseases*, 22(January), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/169753>
- Angeli, M., Hassandra, M., Krommidas, C., Morres, I., & Theodorakis, Y. (2024). Assessing the Impact of a Health Education Anti-Smoking Program for Students: A Follow-Up Investigation. *Children*, 11(4), 387. <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9067/11/4/387>
- Astuti, P. A. S., Assunta, M., & Freeman, B. (2020). Why is tobacco control progress in Indonesia stalled? - a qualitative analysis of interviews with tobacco control experts. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 527. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08640-6>
- Bafunno, D., Catino, A., Lamorgese, V., Del Bene, G., Longo, V., Montrone, M., Pesola, F., Pizzutilo, P., Cassiano, S., Mastrandrea, A., Ricci, D., Petrillo, P., Varesano, N., Zacheo, A., & Galetta, D. (2020). Impact of tobacco control interventions on smoking initiation, cessation, and prevalence: a systematic review. *J Thorac Dis*, 12(7), 3844-3856. <https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2020.02.23>
- Budreviciute, A., Damiani, S., Sabir, D. K., Onder, K., Schuller-Goetzburg, P., Plakys, G., Katileviciute, A., Khoja, S., & Kodzius, R. (2020). Management and Prevention Strategies for Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) and Their Risk Factors. *Front Public Health*, 8, 574111. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.574111>

- Buettner-Schmidt, K., Miller, D. R., & Maack, B. (2019). Disparities in Rural Tobacco Use, Smoke-Free Policies, and Tobacco Taxes. *West J Nurs Res*, 41(8), 1184-1202. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945919828061>
- Cao, P., Jeon, J., Tam, J., Fleischer, N. L., Levy, D. T., Holford, T. R., & Meza, R. (2023). Smoking Disparities by Level of Educational Attainment and Birth Cohort in the U.S. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 64(4), S22-S31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.021>
- Chen, S., Yang, S., Wang, S., Li, H., Li, R., Li, H., Shi, Y., Yang, J., Bao, Y., Du, S., Zhao, M., Wang, W., Wang, J., Liu, M., & He, Y. (2024). Smoking cessation and mortality risk reduction in older adults with long-term smoking history. *BMC Public Health*, 24(1), 2821. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-20270-w>
- Ding, N., Sang, Y., Chen, J., Ballew, S. H., Kalbaugh, C. A., Salameh, M. J., Blaha, M. J., Allison, M., Heiss, G., Selvin, E., Coresh, J., & Matsushita, K. (2019). Cigarette Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Long-Term Risk of 3 Major Atherosclerotic Diseases. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 74(4), 498-507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.05.049>
- Doogan, N. J., Roberts, M. E., Wewers, M. E., Stanton, C. A., Keith, D. R., Gaalema, D. E., Kurti, A. N., Redner, R., Cepeda-Benito, A., Bunn, J. Y., Lopez, A. A., & Higgins, S. T. (2017). A growing geographic disparity: Rural and urban cigarette smoking trends in the United States. *Prev Med*, 104, 79-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2017.03.011>
- Flexeder, C., Zock, J.-P., Jarvis, D., Verlato, G., Olivieri, M., Benke, G., Abramson, M. J., Sigsgaard, T., Svanes, C., Torén, K., Nowak, D., Jögi, R., Martinez-Moratalla, J., Demoly, P., Janson, C., Gislason, T., Bono, R., Holm, M., Franklin, K. A., Garcia-Aymerich, J., Siroux, V., Leynaert, B., Dorado Arenas, S., Corsico, A. G., Pereira-Vega, A., Probst-Hensch, N., Urrutia Landa, I., Schulz, H., & Heinrich, J. (2019). Second-hand smoke exposure in adulthood and lower respiratory health during 20 year follow up in the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. *Respiratory Research*, 20(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-019-0996-z>
- Flor, L. S., Anderson, J. A., Ahmad, N., Aravkin, A., Carr, S., Dai, X., Gil, G. F., Hay, S. I., Malloy, M. J., McLaughlin, S. A., Mullany, E. C., Murray, C. J. L., O'Connell, E. M., Okereke, C., Sorensen, R. J. D., Whisnant, J., Zheng, P., & Gakidou, E.

- (2024). Health effects associated with exposure to secondhand smoke: a Burden of Proof study. *Nat Med*, 30(1), 149-167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02743-4>
- Gan, H., Hou, X., Zhu, Z., Xue, M., Zhang, T., Huang, Z., Cheng, Z. J., & Sun, B. (2022). Smoking: a leading factor for the death of chronic respiratory diseases derived from Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine*, 22(1), 149. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-022-01944-w>
- Hirko, K. A., Moore, P., An, L. C., & Hawley, S. T. (2023). Tobacco Cessation Motivations, Preferences, and Barriers Among Rural Smokers: Implications for Optimizing Referrals in Clinical Practice. *AJPM Focus*, 2(1), 100057. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focus.2022.100057>
- Holipah, H., Sulistomo, H. W., & Maharani, A. (2020). Tobacco smoking and risk of all-cause mortality in Indonesia. *PLOS ONE*, 15(12), e0242558. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242558>
- Hunt, L. J., Covinsky, K. E., Cenzer, I., Espejo, E., Boscardin, W. J., Leutwyler, H., Lee, A. K., & Cataldo, J. (2023). The Epidemiology of Smoking in Older Adults: A National Cohort Study. *J Gen Intern Med*, 38(7), 1697-1704. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-022-07980-w>
- Ismail, A., Hamdar, L., Dirawi, H., Kanso, M., Salem, I., Tamim, H., & Mahfoud, Z. (2024). Predictors and health outcomes of tobacco smoking among the population of Gaza: A large-scale study. *Res Sq*. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4195976/v1>
- Jeong, K., Cho, S., Ryu, J., Cho, H. J., & Kim, S. (2022). Effects of Changes in Smoking Behavior of Older Adults' Oral Health. *Healthcare (Basel)*, 10(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10112127>
- Kemenkes. (2023). *Survey Kesehatan Indonesia 2023*. <https://www.badankebijakan.kemkes.go.id/hasil-ski-2023/>
- Lum, A., Skelton, E., McCarter, K. L., Handley, T., Judd, L., & Bonevski, B. (2020). Smoking cessation interventions for people living in rural and remote areas: a systematic review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 10(11), e041011. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041011>
- Martini, S., Artanti, K. D., Hargono, A., Widati, S., Ahsan, A., & Prabandari, Y. S. (2022). Association between percentage of smokers and prevalence of smoking

- attributable morbidity in Indonesia: one decade after implementation of smoke-free area regulation. *BMC Public Health*, 22(1), 2202. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14435-8>
- Mumford, E. A., Stillman, F. A., Tanenbaum, E., Doogan, N. J., Roberts, M. E., Wewers, M. E., & Chelluri, D. (2019). Regional Rural-Urban Differences in E-Cigarette Use and Reasons for Use in the United States. *J Rural Health*, 35(3), 395-404. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jrh.12333>
- Sun, S., Yu, H., Ling, J., Yao, D., Chen, H., & Liu, G. (2023). The influence of health literacy and knowledge about smoking hazards on the intention to quit smoking and its intensity: an empirical study based on the data of China's health literacy investigation. *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), 2355. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17292-1>
- Syamlal, G., King, B., & Mazurek, J. (2019). Workplace Smoke-Free Policies and Cessation Programs Among U.S. Working Adults. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.10.030>
- Theilmann, M., Lemp, J. M., Winkler, V., Manne-Goehler, J., Marcus, M. E., Probst, C., Lopez-Arboleda, W. A., Ebert, C., Bommer, C., Mathur, M., Andall-Brereton, G., Bahendeka, S. K., Bovet, P., Farzadfar, F., Ghasemi, E., Mayige, M. T., Saeedi Moghaddam, S., Mwangi, K. J., Naderimagham, S., Sturua, L., Atun, R., Davies, J. I., Bärnighausen, T., Vollmer, S., & Geldsetzer, P. (2022). Patterns of tobacco use in low and middle income countries by tobacco product and sociodemographic characteristics: nationally representative survey data from 82 countries. *BMJ*, 378, e067582. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-067582>
- Vallarta-Robledo, J. R., Marques-Vidal, P., Sandoval, J. L., De Ridder, D., Schaffner, E., Humair, J.-P., Cornuz, J., Probst-Hensch, N., Joost, S., & Guessous, I. (2022). The neighborhood environment and its association with the spatio-temporal footprint of tobacco consumption and changes in smoking-related behaviors in a Swiss urban area. *Health & Place*, 76, 102845. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102845>
- VanFrank B, M. A., Cornelius ME, Schecter A, Jamal A, Tynan M. . (2024). Adult Smoking Cessation *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 73(29). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7329a1>

- WHO. (2020). WHO statement: a healthy and prosperous Indonesia through raised tobacco taxes and prices. <https://www.who.int/indonesia/news/detail/09-10-2020-who-statement-a-healthy-and-prosperous-indonesia-through-raised-tobacco-taxes-and-prices#:~:text=The%20prevalence%20of%20tobacco%20use,the%20rise%20in%20recent%20years.>
- WHO. (2023). Tobacco. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/tobacco>
- Yamada, M., & Nakazawa, M. (2024). Status of home-based secondhand smoke exposure among children and its association with health risks in Japan. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 38, 102585. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2023.102585>

ST